arXiv:1605.03407v1 [math-ph] 11 May 2016

# Generating functions for the Bannai-Ito polynomials

Geoffroy Bergeron and Luc Vinet

Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal, P.O. Box 6128, Centre-ville Station, Montréal, Canada H3C 3J7

May 12, 2016

**Abstract**

The generating function of the Bannai-Ito polynomials is derived using the fact that these polynomials are known to be essentially the Racah or $6j$ coefficients of the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ Lie superalgebra. The derivation is carried in a realization of the recoupling problem in terms of three Dunkl oscillators.

## 1 Introduction

In a previous paper [1], generating functions for the dual -1 Hahn polynomials were derived using the Clebsch-Gordan problem of the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ Lie superalgebra. In the present case, we exploit again the fact that $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ is the dynamical algebra of a parabosonic or Dunkl oscillator. The generating function of the Bannai-Ito polynomials is found by using the wavefunctions of this system and recalling [2] that the Racah coefficients for $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ are given in terms of these polynomials.

Related approaches using wavefunction realizations of dynamical algebra to derive identities for orthogonal polynomials have been presented previously [3, 4, 5, 6]. In particular, [7] uses manipulations of wavefunctions similar to the ones that will be presented here to derive an integral representation of recoupling coefficients.

### 1.1 The Bannai-Ito polynomials

The Bannai-Ito polynomials, introduced in [8], denoted here by $B_n(x)$, depend on four parameters $\{r_1, r_2, \rho_1, \rho_2\}$ and can be defined [9], see also [10], as the solutions of the difference equation

$$\left[\frac{(x-\rho_1)(x-\rho_2)}{2x}(I-P_x)+\frac{(x-r_1+1/2)(x-r_2+1/2)}{2x+1}(P_xD_x-I)\right]B_n(x)=\lambda_n B_n(x),$$

where $P_x$ is the reflection operator acting on functions of $x$ as $P_xf(x)=f(-x)$ and $D_x$ is the forward shift operator acting as $D_xf(x)=f(x+1)$ and with the eigenvalues $\lambda_n$ given by

$$\lambda_n=\begin{cases}\dfrac{n}{2} & \text{for } n \text{ even},\\ r_1+r_2-\rho_1-\rho 2-\dfrac{n+1}{2} & \text{for } n \text{ odd}.\end{cases}$$

They satisfy a three-term recurrence relation

$$xB_n(x)=B_{n+1}(x)+(\rho_1-a_n-c_n)B_n(x)+a_{n-1}c_nB_{n-1}(x),$$

with coefficients

$$a_n=\begin{cases}\dfrac{(n+2\rho_1-2r_1+1)(n+2\rho_1-2r_2+1)}{4(n+\rho_1+\rho_2-r_1-r_2+1)} & \text{for } n \text{ even},\\ \dfrac{(n+2\rho_1+2\rho_2-2r_1-2r_2+1)(n+2\rho_1+2\rho_2+1)}{4(n+\rho_1+\rho_2-r_1-r_2+1)} & \text{for } n \text{ odd},\end{cases}\tag{1.1}$$

$$b_n = \begin{cases} \dfrac{-n(n-2r_1-2r_2)}{4(n+\rho_1+\rho_2-r_1-r_2)} & \text{for } n \text{ even}, \\ \dfrac{-(n+2\rho_2-2r_2)(n+2\rho_2-2r_1)}{4(n+\rho_1+\rho_2-r_1-r_2)} & \text{for } n \text{ odd}, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

and initial conditions $B_{-1}(x) = 0$, $B_0(x) = 1$. Their orthogonality

$$\sum_{S=0}^{N} w_S B_n(x_S) B_m(x_S) = h_N \delta_{nm}, \quad S = 0, \dots, N, \tag{1.3}$$

is with respect to a discrete measure of weights $w_S$ on the grid $x_S$, $S = 2s + p \in \{0, ..., N\}$, $p \in \{0, 1\}$ with normalization $h_N$ where

$$w_S = \frac{(-1)^p(\rho_1 - r_1 + 1/2)_{s+p}(\rho_1 - r_2 + 1/2)_{s+p}(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + 1)_s}{(\rho_1 + r_1 + 1/2)_{s+p}(\rho_1 + r_2 + 1/2)_{s+p}(1)_s(\rho_1 - \rho_2 + 1)_s}, \tag{1.4}$$

with $(a)_m = a(a+1)...(a+m-1)$ the rising Pochhammer symbol, and

$$x_S = \frac{(-1)^S(S + 2\rho_1 + 1/2) - 1/2}{2}, \quad h_N = \begin{cases} \dfrac{(2\rho_1+1)_{N/2}(r_1-\rho_2+1/2)_{N/2}}{(\rho_1-\rho_2+1)_{N/2}(\rho_1+r_1+1/2)_{N/2}}, & N \text{ even}, \\ \dfrac{(2\rho_1+1)_{(N+1)/2}(r_1+r_2)_{(N+1)/2}}{(\rho_1+r_1+1/2)_{(N+1)/2}(\rho_1+r_2+1/2)_{(N+1)/2}}, & N \text{ odd}, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

where $N = |\rho_2 + r_2| + r_2 - \rho_2 - 2\rho_1 - 1$.

## 1.2 The $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ algebra

The $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ algebra is generated by two odd elements $K_\pm$ and one even element $K_0$, relative to a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading. The presentation used in this paper makes this grading explicit by the introduction of a grade involution operator $R$ that commutes/anticommutes with the even/odd elements of the algebra. This presentation, also referred to as the $\mathfrak{sl}_{-1}(2)$ algebra [11] in the literature, is given by the four generators $K_0$, $K_\pm$ and $R$ together with the relations

$$[K_0, K_\pm] = \pm K_\pm, \quad [K_0, R] = 0, \quad \{K_+, K_-\} = 2K_0, \quad \{K_\pm, R\} = 0, \quad R^2 = 1, \tag{1.6}$$

with $[a, b] = ab - ba$ and $\{a, b\} = ab + ba$. The Casimir operator for the algebra as presented in (1.6) is given by

$$C = (K_+K_- - K_0 + 1/2)R. \tag{1.7}$$

The irreducible positive-discrete series representations of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ are then labeled by two numbers $(\mu, \epsilon)$ where $\mu \geq 0$ and $\epsilon = \pm 1$. The actions of the generators on the orthonormal basis vectors $|n, \mu, \epsilon\rangle$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 |n, \mu, \epsilon\rangle &= (n + \mu + 1/2) |n, \mu, \epsilon\rangle, & R|n, \mu, \epsilon\rangle &= \epsilon (-1)^n |n, \mu, \epsilon\rangle, \\ K_+ |n, \mu, \epsilon\rangle &= \sqrt{[n+1]_\mu} |n+1, \mu, \epsilon\rangle, & K_- |n, \mu, \epsilon\rangle &= \sqrt{[n]_\mu} |n-1, \mu, \epsilon\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

where $[n]_\mu = n + \mu(1 - (-1)^n)$. In these representations, the Casimir (1.7) assumes the value

$$C|n, \mu, \epsilon\rangle = -\epsilon\mu|n, \mu, \epsilon\rangle.$$

## 1.3 Realization as a dynamical algebra

The presentation (1.6) of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ can be realized [12, 13] in terms of operators acting on functions of a real variable $x$. Let $P_x$ denote the parity operator acting on functions as $P_x f(x) = f(-x)$. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-Dunkl derivative is defined by

$$\mathfrak{D}_x = \partial_x + \frac{\mu}{x}(1 - P_x).$$

The $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ algebra is realized under the following identification of the generators:

$$K_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{D}_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x^2, \quad K_\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x \mp \mathfrak{D}_x), \quad R = P_x. \tag{1.9}$$

This casts $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ as the dynamical algebra of the parabose oscillator [11] whose Hamiltonian $H$ is the operator that represents $K_0$. It follows that the position operator and its associated eigenvectors are

$$X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(K_+ + K_-), \quad X|x, \mu, \epsilon\rangle = x|x, \mu, \epsilon\rangle. \tag{1.10}$$

The representation basis (1.8) corresponds to the energy eigenstates with eigenvalues $E = n + \mu + 1/2$ and can be modeled by the wavefunctions $\Psi_n^{\mu,\epsilon}(x)$ defined through

$$\Psi_n^{\mu,\epsilon}(x) = \langle x, \mu, \epsilon | n, \mu, \epsilon\rangle. \tag{1.11}$$

## 1.4 The Racah problem of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$

The $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ algebra also forms a Hopf algebra with the following coproduct $\Delta$, counit $\varepsilon$ and antipode $h$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta(K_0) = K_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes K_0, \quad \Delta(K_\pm) = K_\pm \otimes R + 1 \otimes K_\pm, \quad \Delta(R) = R \otimes R,\\
&\varepsilon(K_0) = \varepsilon(K_\pm) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(R) = \varepsilon(1) = 1,\\
&h(K_0) = -K_0, \quad h(K_\pm) = RK_\pm, \quad h(R) = R, \quad h(1) = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

This Hopf algebra structure induces an action of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ on tensor products of modules. The tensor products of irreducible representations can be decomposed as direct sums of irreducible representations (1.8), such as

$$(\mu_1, \epsilon_1) \otimes (\mu_2.\epsilon_2) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} (\mu_{12}(i), \epsilon_{12}(i)).$$

Expressing the above isomorphism of representations as a unitary transformation of the basis vectors themselves constitutes the Clebsch-Gordan problem and has been treated in [1] and in the references therein.

Consider the following threefold tensor product of irreducible representations

$$(\mu_1, \epsilon_1) \otimes (\mu_2, \epsilon_2) \otimes (\mu_3, \epsilon_3). \tag{1.12}$$

One can decompose this product of representations in a direct sum of irreducible representations in two different ways, corresponding to the order in which the coproduct is used to induce an action of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ on (1.12), either $\Delta \otimes 1 \circ \Delta$ or $1 \otimes \Delta \circ \Delta$. Both cases define an algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2) \to \mathfrak{osp}(1|2) \otimes \mathfrak{osp}(1|2) \otimes \mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ and an associated isomorphism between threefold tensor products of representations and direct sums of irreducible representations

$$\bigoplus_u (\mu_{(12)3}(u), \epsilon_{(12)3}(u)) \overset{\Delta\otimes 1\circ\Delta}{\cong} (\mu_1, \epsilon_1) \otimes (\mu_2, \epsilon_2) \otimes (\mu_3, \epsilon_3) \overset{1\otimes\Delta\circ\Delta}{\cong} \bigoplus_v (\mu_{1(23)}(v), \epsilon_{1(23)}(v)). \tag{1.13}$$

In fact, by the coassociativity of the coproduct, we have that two irreducible representations connected in such a way are isomorphic

$$(\mu_{(12)3}, \epsilon_{(12)3}) \cong (\mu_{1(23)}, \epsilon_{1(23)}) \tag{1.14}$$

and thus, we will only keep the notation distinguishing the two in the labels of the representations and their bases, but not in equations, as they are equal as numbers.

The basis constructed as in (1.8) for these representations does not uniquely determine the map (1.13) on the basis vectors themselves, but a canonical choice of supplementary labels exists that removes the degeneracy. One demands that the basis vectors of $(\mu_{(12)3}, \epsilon_{(12)3})$, (respectively $(\mu_{1(23)}, \epsilon_{1(23)})$), diagonalize the intermediate Casimir operator $C_{12} = \Delta(C) \otimes 1$, (resp. $C_{23} = 1 \otimes \Delta(C)$). Thus, denoting the action of $\Delta \otimes 1 \circ \Delta = 1 \otimes \Delta \circ \Delta$ on generators $A \in \mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ by

$$\Delta \otimes 1 \circ \Delta : A \mapsto \hat{A} \in \mathfrak{osp}(1|2) \otimes \mathfrak{osp}(1|2) \otimes \mathfrak{osp}(1|2),$$

we have that the first representation basis $|n_{(12)3}, \mu_{(12)3}, \epsilon_{(12)3}\rangle$, written for brevity $|n_{(12)3}\rangle$, of $(\mu_{(12)3}, \epsilon_{(12)3})$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{K}_0 \,|n_{(12)3}\rangle &= (n_{123} + \mu_{123} + 1/2)\,|n_{(12)3}\rangle, & \hat{R}\,|n_{(12)3}\rangle &= \epsilon_{123}\,(-1)^{n_{123}}\,|n_{(12)3}\rangle, \\
\hat{K}_+ \,|n_{(12)3}\rangle &= \sqrt{[n_{123}+1]_{\mu_{123}}}\,|n_{(12)3}+1\rangle, & \hat{K}_-\,|n_{(12)3}\rangle &= \sqrt{[n_{123}]_{\mu_{123}}}\,|n_{(12)3}-1\rangle, \\
\hat{C}\,|n_{(12)3}\rangle &= -\mu_{123}\,\epsilon_{123}\,|n_{(12)3}\rangle, & C_{12}\,|n_{(12)3}\rangle &= -\mu_{12}\,\epsilon_{12}\,|n_{(12)3}\rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

and, similarly, the second basis $|n_{1(23)}, \mu_{1(23)}, \epsilon_{1(23)}\rangle = |n_{1(23)}\rangle$ of $(\mu_{1(23)}, \epsilon_{1(23)})$ obeys

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{K}_0 \,|n_{1(23)}\rangle &= (n_{123} + \mu_{123} + 1/2)\,|n_{1(23)}\rangle, & \hat{R}\,|n_{1(23)}\rangle &= \epsilon_{123}\,(-1)^{n_{123}}\,|n_{1(23)}\rangle, \\
\hat{K}_+ \,|n_{1(23)}\rangle &= \sqrt{[n_{123}+1]_{\mu_{123}}}\,|n_{1(23)}+1\rangle, & \hat{K}_-\,|n_{1(23)}\rangle &= \sqrt{[n_{123}]_{\mu_{123}}}\,|n_{1(23)}-1\rangle, \\
\hat{C}\,|n_{1(23)}\rangle &= -\mu_{123}\,\epsilon_{123}\,|n_{1(23)}\rangle, & C_{23}\,|n_{1(23)}\rangle &= -\mu_{23}\,\epsilon_{23}\,|n_{1(23)}\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

These bases are not the same since $[C_{12}, C_{23}] \neq 0$. The $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ Racah problem consists in determining the overlaps $\mathcal{R}$ between the two bases (1.15) and (1.16)

$$\mathcal{R} = \langle n_{(12)3}, \mu_{(12)3}, \epsilon_{(12)3} | n_{1(23)}, \mu_{1(23)}, \epsilon_{1(23)} \rangle. \tag{1.17}$$

### 1.5 Outline

We will first explain the realization of the Racah problem in terms of a system of three parabose harmonic oscillators and will indicate how this realization relates to generating functions in section 2. Section 3 gives the explicit expressions of the angular wavefunctions in each parity case of the parameters and a derivation of their asymptotic form in the relevant limits. Finally, section 4 contains the derivation of the generating functions and is followed by a brief conclusion.

## 2 Realization of the Racah decomposition

The Racah problem of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ can be expressed within the dynamical algebra realization by considering three uncoupled parabose oscillators in the Cartesian coordinates $\{x, y, z\}$. The total Hamiltonian for this system is simply the sum of the separate Hamiltonians

$$H_{xyz} = H_x + H_y + H_z = K_0 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes K_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes K_0 = \hat{K}_0.$$

The Schrödinger equation $H_{xyz}|\psi\rangle = E_{xyz}|\psi\rangle$ manifestly separates in the Cartesian coordinates. In [13], it was shown that it also separates in spherical coordinates. This separation is associated to the symmetries generated by the intermediate Casimir operators $C_{12}$ and $C_{23}$. In fact, the spherical wavefunctions are constructed [14] using the basis (1.15) or (1.16). Not surprisingly then, the Racah problem is directly related to the different possible choices in the construction of the spherical coordinates.

## 2.1 Spherical coordinates realization

The position operator $X$ introduced in (1.10) can naturally be extended to a set of three operators acting on threefold tensor product of irreducible representations as

$$X = X \otimes 1 \otimes 1, \quad Y = 1 \otimes X \otimes 1, \quad Z = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes X,$$

where the $X$ operator in the right-hand side is the one defined in (1.10). From these, one can define the radial operator $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2$. This operator can also be expressed as the image of $X^2$ under the homomorphism (1.13), or

$$\hat{X}^2 = X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2.$$

It commutes [12] with the intermediate Casimirs $C_{12}$ and $C_{23}$. Thus, the two bases introduced in (1.15) and (1.16) do not differ in their radial parts and the Racah problem is entirely determined by the angular wavefunctions. We may as well take the radius to be fixed and consider the Racah problem on a fixed eigenspace of the radial operator $\hat{X}^2$.

The angular wavefunctions will be defined as the functions satisfying (1.15) or (1.16) under the action of the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ algebra in the coordinate realization and under the constraint $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$, where $x$, $y$ and $z$ are the eigenvalues of the $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ operators, respectively. As such, these functions are defined on the two-dimensional sphere and can be parametrized by two angles $\theta$ and $\phi$. We choose these angles to be related to the Cartesian coordinates as usual through

$$x = \sin\theta\cos\phi, \quad y = \sin\theta\sin\phi, \quad z = \cos\theta. \tag{2.1}$$

Using these relations, the realization (1.9) of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ can be expressed as differential operators in the angular coordinates [13]. The angular wavefunctions are then given by

$$\mathcal{Y}^{\mu_{(12)3},\epsilon_{(12)3}}_{n_{(12)3}}(\theta,\phi) = \langle \theta, \phi \,|n_{(12)3}, \mu_{(12)3}, \epsilon_{(12)3}\rangle, \quad \text{with} \quad x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1.$$

A similar expression is defined for the other basis with a different set of angular variables $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ by

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\mu_{1(23)},\epsilon_{1(23)}}_{n_{1(23)}}(\alpha,\beta) = \langle \alpha, \beta \,|n_{1(23)}, \mu_{1(23)}, \epsilon_{1(23)}\rangle, \quad \text{with} \quad x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1.$$

It is possible to relate the second set of variables to the first by observing that a permutation of the terms in the threefold tensor product of irreducible representations (1.12) maps the basis (1.15) to (1.16). Explicitly, this permutation is the cycle $(\,1\,2\,3\,)$. On the Cartesian variables, this corresponds to the redefinition

$$\bar{x} = y, \quad \bar{y} = z, \quad \bar{z} = x,$$

which is expressed in terms of the angular variables as

$$\sin\alpha\cos\beta = \sin\theta\sin\phi, \quad \sin\alpha\sin\beta = \cos\theta, \quad \cos\alpha = \sin\theta\cos\phi. \tag{2.2}$$

In view of (1.14), the decomposition of these angular wavefunctions onto each other exists and will have the Racah coefficients as overlaps

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\mu_{1(23)},\epsilon_{1(23)}}_{n_{1(23)}}(\alpha(\theta,\phi),\beta(\theta,\phi)) = \sum \mathcal{R}\, \mathcal{Y}^{\mu_{(12)3},\epsilon_{(12)3}}_{n_{(12)3}}(\theta,\phi), \tag{2.3}$$

where $\alpha(\theta,\phi)$ and $\beta(\theta,\phi)$ are obtained from (2.2).

## 2.2 Exact form of the decomposition

Let us now make details explicit. First consider a basis vector of (1.12), which we here denote by $|n_1,\mu_1,\epsilon_1\rangle\otimes|n_2,\mu_2,\epsilon_2\rangle\otimes|n_3,\mu_3,\epsilon_3\rangle$. In view of (1.13), we may write a decomposition of the form

$$|n_1,\mu_1,\epsilon_1\rangle\otimes|n_2,\mu_2,\epsilon_2\rangle\otimes|n_3,\mu_3,\epsilon_3\rangle = \sum_u \mathcal{C}_u \,|n_{(12)3},\mu_{(12)3},\epsilon_{(12)3}\rangle_u. \tag{2.4}$$

For this equality to hold given the action of $\hat{K}_0$ and $\hat{R}$, one must have

$$n_{123} + \mu_{123} + 1/2 = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + 3/2,$$
$$\epsilon_{123}(-1)^{n_{123}} = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3(-1)^{n_1+n_2+n_3}.$$

The representation parameters $\mu_{123}$ and $\epsilon_{123}$ do not depend on $n_{123}$, as they are eigenvalues of the Casimir operator $\hat{C}$ which commutes with the generators of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$. Thus, with the above equalities, we have that

$$n_{123} = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - N, \quad \mu_{123} = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + 1 + N, \quad \epsilon_{123} = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3(-1)^N, \tag{2.5}$$

where $N \in [0, n_1 + n_2 + n_3] \subset \mathbb{N}$. The difference between the two bases (1.15) and (1.16) arises when considering the operator $C_{12}$ or $C_{23}$. First take the case of $|n_{(12)3}, \mu_{(12)3}, \epsilon_{(12)3}\rangle$ which diagonalizes $C_{12}$. We have that $[C_{12}, \Delta(A) \otimes 1] = 0 \ \forall \ A \in \mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$. This corresponds to the Clesch-Gordan problem if one focuses only on the first two terms of the threefold tensor product (1.12). Thus, asking that $|n_{(12)3}, \mu_{(12)3}, \epsilon_{(12)3}\rangle$ diagonalizes $C_{12}$ is equivalent to demanding that it can be decomposed using the intermediate step

$$\begin{aligned}|n_1, \mu_1, \epsilon_1\rangle \otimes |n_2, \mu_2, \epsilon_2\rangle \otimes |n_3, \mu_3, \epsilon_3\rangle &= \sum_v \mathcal{C'}_v \, |n_{12}, \mu_{12}, \epsilon_{12}\rangle_v \otimes |n_3, \mu_3, \epsilon_3\rangle \\ &= \sum_u \mathcal{C}_u \, |n_{(12)3}, \mu_{(12)3}, \epsilon_{(12)3}\rangle_u.\end{aligned}$$

It is known that the parameters involved in the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition into the product representation $(\mu_i, \epsilon_i) \otimes (\mu_j, \epsilon_j)$ must verify

$$n_{ij} = n_i + n_j - q, \quad \mu_{ij} = \mu_i + \mu_j + q + 1/2, \quad \epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_i\epsilon_j(-1)^q, \quad q \in [0, n_i + n_j] \subset \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.6}$$

corresponding to the diagonalization of the intermediate Casimir $C_{ij}$. Rewriting (2.5) in view of (2.6), the parameters involved in the decomposition of $|n_{123}, \mu_{123}, \epsilon_{123}\rangle$ diagonalizing $C_{ij}$ are related by the following equations when $\mathcal{C}_u$ is non-zero

$$n_{123} = n_{ij} + n_k - l, \qquad \mu_{123} = \mu_{ij} + \mu_k + 1/2 + l, \qquad \epsilon_{123} = \epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_k(-1)^l, \tag{2.7}$$
$$n_{ij} = n_i + n_j - q, \qquad \mu_{ij} = \mu_i + \mu_j + q + 1/2, \qquad \epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_i\epsilon_j(-1)^q, \tag{2.8}$$
$$l = N - q, \qquad N \in [0, n_1 + n_2 + n_3] \subset \mathbb{N}, \qquad \implies q \in [0, N] \subset \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.9}$$

where $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ index the terms of the threefold tensor product (1.12).

For a given value of $\mu_1$, $\mu_2$, $\mu_3$, $\epsilon_1$, $\epsilon_2$ , $\epsilon_3$ and $N$, $\mu_{123}$ and $\epsilon_{123}$ are fixed and, since $l \geq 0$ there are $N + 1$ ways of choosing $q$. Thus, the decomposition of the tensor product of three irreducible $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ representations can be expressed as

$$(\mu_1, \epsilon_1) \otimes (\mu_2, \epsilon_2) \otimes (\mu_3, \epsilon_3) \cong \bigoplus_{N=0}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{q=0}^{N} (\mu_{123}(N), \epsilon_{123}(N))_q,$$

where $q$ indexes as in (2.8) the possible eigenvalues of the intermediate Casimir $C_{ij}$.

Consider now the Racah coefficients $\mathcal{R}$ as given in (1.17) where both basis vectors come from one of the two different decompositions (1.13) of the same threefold tensor product of irreducible representations (1.12) with parameters $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ and $\epsilon_3$. The overlap is between a vector, indexed $a$, with fixed $n^a_{123}$, $\mu^a_{123}$, $\epsilon^a_{123}$, $\mu_{23}$ and $\epsilon_{23}$ and a vector, indexed $b$, with given $n^b_{123}$, $\mu^b_{123}$, $\epsilon^b_{123}$, $\mu_{12}$ and $\epsilon_{12}$. For $\mathcal{R} \equiv \langle n^a_{123}, \mu^a_{123}, \epsilon^a_{123}, \mu_{23}, \epsilon_{23} | n^b_{123}, \mu^b_{123}, \epsilon^b_{123}, \mu_{12}, \epsilon_{12}\rangle$ to be non-zero, we must have, in view of the action of $\hat{K}_0$, that $n^a_{123} + \mu^a_{123} = n^b_{123} + \mu^b_{123}$. This implies, using (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$n^a_{123} - n^b_{123} = N_a - N_b, \quad \mu^a_{123} - \mu^b_{123} = N_a - N_b.$$

Moreover, by acting to the right and to the left of the overlap $\mathcal{R}$ with the total Casimir $\hat{C}$, we have that

$$\mu^a_{123} = \mu^b_{123}, \quad \implies \quad N_a = N_b = N, \quad \implies \quad n^a_{123} + N_a = n^b_{123} + N_b = n_1 + n_2 + n_3. \tag{2.10}$$

This reflects the fact that vectors with different eigenvalues of the total Casimir will belong to different irreducible representations and are thus orthogonal. The only remaining free parameter is $q \in \{0, ..., N\}$ with $N$ fixed. Again, this is not surprising because the bases (1.15) and (1.16) span equivalent $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ modules. Thus, writing as $K$ and $S$ the parameters $q_a$ and $q_b$ indexing the values of the intermediate Casimir for the $a$ and $b$ vectors, the Racah decomposition will explicitly be written as

$$|n_{123}, \mu_{123}, \epsilon_{123}, \mu_{12}(S)\rangle = \sum_{K=0}^{N} \mathcal{R}^{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}_{S,K,N} |n_{123}, \mu_{123}, \epsilon_{123}, \mu_{23}(K)\rangle.$$

This equation can be rewritten in terms of the wavefunctions. As said, the overlap between two such wavefunctions is directly proportional to the Bannai-Ito polynomials [14]:

$$\mathcal{Z}^N_S(\alpha(\theta,\phi), \beta(\theta,\phi)) = \sum_{K=0}^{N} \mathcal{R}^{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}_{S,K,N} \mathcal{Y}^N_K(\theta,\phi), \tag{2.11}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}_{S,K,N} = \Phi^N_S \sqrt{\frac{w_S}{h_N u_1 u_2 ... u_K}} B_K(x_S; \rho_1, \rho_2, r_1, r_2) \tag{2.12}$$

with $w_S$, $x_S$ and $h_N$ as in (1.4) and (1.5) and where the $B_K$ are the Bannai-Ito polynomials. The $u_i$ are given by $u_i = a_{n-1}b_n$ with $a_n$ and $b_n$ as in (1.1) and (1.2). The choice of phase $\Phi^N_S$ is different than in [14]. In this work, writing $N = 2n + q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $q \in \{0, 1\}$ the phase is given by

$$\Phi^N_S = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n+q} & \text{for } S \text{ even}, \\ (-1)^n & \text{for } S \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

The connection between the parameters of the threefold tensor product (1.12) and the parameters of the Bannai-Ito polynomials in (2.12) is as follows

$$\rho_1 = \frac{\mu_2 + \mu_3}{2}, \quad \rho_2 = \frac{\mu_1 + \mu}{2}, \quad r_1 = \frac{\mu_3 - \mu_2}{2}, \quad r_2 = \frac{\mu - \mu_1}{2}, \quad \mu = (-1)^N(N + 1 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3).$$

## 2.3 Generating function from the Racah problem

The wavefunction realization of the Racah decomposition (2.11) leads to a functional decomposition with coefficients proportional to the Bannai-Ito polynomials [2]. To obtain generating functions, one needs to reduce the right-hand side of (2.11) to a power series of a single variable. As shall be made explicit, the angular wavefunctions are polynomials of finite degrees of (trigonometric functions of) the variables. As such, they can be considered as formal polynomial relations and an asymptotic expansion can be used to reduce the polynomials to their leading terms. Moreover, to obtain monomials of a single variable, one needs to simultaneously introduce a relation between the two angle variables. This procedure must be carried while maintaining the functional form of the left-hand side of (2.11), so as to prevent its trivialization. This is uniquely determined by the relations (2.2) between the two different sets of angular variables $\{\theta, \phi\}$ and $\{\alpha, \beta\}$.

In view of the form of the angular wavefunctions given in section 3.1, one is led to consider the following expansion, while demanding that the relations (2.2) are maintained.

$$|\theta| \to 0 \implies \cos\theta \to 1, \quad \sin\theta \to 0. \tag{2.14}$$

We want the angular variables $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ to remain finite. Let $z$ be a finite variable defined by

$$z = \cos\alpha, \quad \implies \quad \sin\alpha = \sqrt{1 - z^2}, \quad \sin\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - z^2}}, \quad \cos\beta = i\frac{z}{\sqrt{1 - z^2}}, \tag{2.15}$$

where the relations (2.2), (2.14) have been used to obtain the other identities. The finiteness of $z$ together with (2.2) implies $\mathfrak{Im}(\phi) \to \infty$. We then demand that the following limit be defined and give

$$\cosh \mathfrak{Im}(\phi) \sin\theta \to \lambda, \quad \sinh \mathfrak{Im}(\phi) \sin\theta \to \mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{Im}(\phi))\lambda,$$

with $0 \leq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, such that using the identity $\cos(a+ib) = \cos a \cosh b - i \sin a \sinh b$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ in the last relation of (2.2), we have

$$z = \lambda[\cos \mathfrak{Re}\,(\phi) - i \sin \mathfrak{Re}\,(\phi)\, \mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{Im}(\phi))].$$

A similar reasoning with the first equation of (2.2), using (2.15) leads to

$$z = \lambda[\cos \mathfrak{Re}\,(\phi)\, \mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{Im}(\phi)) - i \sin \mathfrak{Re}\,(\phi)].$$

For consistency, we choose $\mathrm{sgn}(\mathfrak{Im}(\phi)) = 1$ and thus

$$z = \lambda e^{-i\mathfrak{Re}(\phi)}. \tag{2.16}$$

This constraint on the sign of $\mathfrak{Im}(\phi)$ allows for some simplifications. In the asymptotic limit, we now have $\cosh \mathfrak{Im}(\phi) \approx \sinh \mathfrak{Im}(\phi)$ which permits one to write

$$\sin\phi \approx [\sin \mathfrak{Re}\,(\phi) + i \cos \mathfrak{Re}\,(\phi)] \cosh \mathfrak{Im}(\phi) = i[\cos \mathfrak{Re}\,(\phi) - i \sin \mathfrak{Re}\,(\phi)] \cosh \mathfrak{Im}(\phi) \approx i \cos\phi. \tag{2.17}$$

Finally, the double angle identity $\cos 2\phi = 2\cos^2\phi - 1$ yields in the asymptotic expansion

$$\cos 2\phi \approx 2\cos^2\phi. \tag{2.18}$$

Under this asymptotic limit, the decomposition (2.11) will take the form of a generating function for the sum of two Bannai-Ito polynomials

$$\mathcal{Z}_S^N(z) = \sum_{K=0}^{N} \mathcal{R}_{S,K,N}^{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3} \mathcal{Y}_K^N(z), \tag{2.19}$$

where $\mathcal{Y}_K^N(z)$ is a sum of two monomials of the $z$ variable. It should be noted, in view of (2.16) and since the parameters $\lambda$ and $\mathfrak{Re}\,(\phi)$ are not fixed, that $z$ can be any complex number.

# 3 Wavefunctions and their asymptotic forms

Wavefunctions could be obtained by directly solving the Schrödinger equation. In spherical coordinates, one has [13]

$$H_{xyz} = H_r - \frac{1}{2r^2}\Delta_{S^2},$$

where $\Delta_{S^2}$ is the Dunkl Laplacian on the sphere [14]. Upon restriction to the unit sphere, the problem is equivalent to diagonalizing $\Delta_{S^2}$. One would thus obtain the Dunkl spherical harmonics. To simplify calculations, we shall choose however a basis which is obtained from solutions of a related, but different, system of equations. We shall use instead functions of definite parity on which the total Casimir is diagonal. This is justified by observing that from (2.10) we have $\mathcal{R} \neq 0$ only when the overlap is between two basis vectors from the same eigenspace of the total Hamiltonian. These functions form a basis of the irreducible representations (1.14) and are sufficient for our purpose but do not reflect the full degeneracy of the initial Shrödinger equation. This can be seen from the fact that the operators $R_i$ , $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ commute with the total Hamiltonian, but not with the total Casimir, see [14].

## 3.1 Angular Wavefunctions

The explicit form of the basis functions used in this work can be obtained by solving the relevant system of Dunkl differential equations. We assume $\epsilon_{123} = 1$ for the rest of this work. In this case, the angular wavefunctions $\mathcal{Y}_K^N(\theta,\phi)$ for $K = 0, ..., N$ satisfy the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{C}\hat{R}\,\mathcal{Y}_K^N(\theta,\phi) &= -(N+\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3+1)\mathcal{Y}_K^N(\theta,\phi),\\
\hat{R}\,\mathcal{Y}_K^N(\theta,\phi) &= (-1)^N \mathcal{Y}_K^N(\theta,\phi),\\
C_{12}\,\mathcal{Y}_K^N(\theta,\phi) &= -(-1)^K(K+\mu_1+\mu_2)\mathcal{Y}_K^N(\theta,\phi),
\end{aligned}$$

where these operators act on (1.12) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{C}\hat{R} &= K_- \otimes R \otimes K_+ R + K_+ 1 \otimes R \otimes K_- R - 1 \otimes CR \otimes 1 + C_{12}(R \otimes R \otimes 1) + C_{23}(1 \otimes R \otimes R),\\
C_{12} &= K_- R \otimes K_+ \otimes 1 - K_+ R \otimes K_- \otimes 1 + C \otimes R \otimes 1 + R \otimes C \otimes 1 - (1/2) R \otimes R \otimes 1,\\
C_{23} &= 1 \otimes K_- R \otimes K_+ - 1 \otimes K_+ R \otimes K_- + 1 \otimes C \otimes R + 1 \otimes R \otimes C - (1/2) 1 \otimes R \otimes R,\\
\hat{R} &= R \otimes R \otimes R,
\end{aligned}$$

with $K_0$, $K_\pm$, $R$ and $C$ realized as in (1.9).

The solutions [14] correspond to (a subset of) the wavefunctions built on the basis (1.15) and are given, when $N = 2n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K = 2k + p \in \{0, ..., N\}$ with $p \in \{0, 1\}$, by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}^N_K(\theta, \phi) &= \sqrt{\frac{(n-k-p)!\Gamma(n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3+3/2)}{\Gamma(n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1)\Gamma(n-k+\mu_3+1/2-p)}}\\
&\times \left\{ \left( \frac{n-k+\mu_3-1/2}{n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1} \right)^{p/2} \sin^{2k+2p}\theta P^{(2k+2p+\mu_1+\mu_2,\mu_3-1/2)}_{n-k-p}(\cos 2\theta) \mathcal{F}^+_K(\phi) \right.\\
&\left. + \left( \frac{n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1}{n-k+\mu_3-1/2} \right)^{p/2} \cos\theta \sin^{2k+1}\theta P^{(2k+1+\mu_1+\mu_2,\mu_3+1/2)}_{n-k-1}(\cos 2\theta) \mathcal{F}^-_K(\phi) \right\}. \quad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

When $N = 2n + 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K = 2k + p$ as before, the wavefunctions are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y}^N_K(\theta, \phi) &= (-1)^K \sqrt{\frac{(n-k)!\Gamma(n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3+3/2+p)}{\Gamma(n-k+\mu_3+1/2)\Gamma(n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1+p)}}\\
&\times \left\{ \left( \frac{n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1}{n-k+\mu_3+1/2} \right)^{(1-p)/2} \cos\theta \sin^{2k+2p}\theta P^{(2k+2p+\mu_1+\mu_2,\mu_3+1/2)}_{n-k-p}(\cos 2\theta) \mathcal{F}^+_K(\phi) \right.\\
&\left. - \left( \frac{n-k+\mu_3+1/2}{n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1} \right)^{(1-p)/2} \sin^{2k+1}\theta P^{(2k+1+\mu_1+\mu_2,\mu_3-1/2)}_{n-k}(\cos 2\theta) \mathcal{F}^-_K(\phi) \right\}. \quad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

The $\mathcal{F}_K$ functions are as follow

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}^+_K(\phi) &= \xi^+_K \left\{ \left( \frac{k+1}{k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1} \right)^{p/2} P^{(\mu_2-1/2,\mu_1-1/2)}_{k+p}(\cos 2\phi) \right.\\
&\left. - (-1)^p \left( \frac{k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1}{k+1} \right)^{p/2} \cos\phi \sin\phi P^{(\mu_2+1/2,\mu_1+1/2)}_{k+p-1}(\cos 2\phi) \right\} \quad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}^-_K(\phi) &= \xi^-_K \left\{ \left( \frac{k+\mu_1+1/2}{k+\mu_2+1/2} \right)^{p/2} \sin\phi P^{(\mu_2+1/2,\mu_1-1/2)}_{k}(\cos 2\phi) \right.\\
&\left. + (-1)^p \left( \frac{k+\mu_2+1/2}{k+\mu_1+1/2} \right)^{p/2} \cos\phi P^{(\mu_2-1/2,\mu_1+1/2)}_{k}(\cos 2\phi) \right\}, \quad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\xi^+_K = \sqrt{\frac{(k+p)!\Gamma(k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1+p)}{2\Gamma(k+\mu_1+1/2+p)\Gamma(k+\mu_2+1/2+p)}}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\xi^-_K = \sqrt{\frac{k!\Gamma(k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1)}{2\Gamma(k+\mu_1+1/2)\Gamma(k+\mu_2+1/2)}}. \quad (3.6)$$

A second wavefunction basis is obtained by reparametrizing the sphere in terms of the angular coordinates $\alpha, \beta$ as per (2.2). These wavefunctions, denoted $\mathcal{Z}_S^N(\alpha,\beta)$ for $S = 0, ..., N$, now satisfy the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{C}\hat{R}\,\mathcal{Z}_S^N(\alpha,\beta) &= -(N+\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3+1)\mathcal{Z}_S^N(\alpha,\beta),\\
\hat{R}\,\mathcal{Z}_S^N(\alpha,\beta) &= (-1)^N\mathcal{Z}_S^N(\alpha,\beta),\\
C_{23}\,\mathcal{Z}_S^N(\alpha,\beta) &= -(-1)^S(S+\mu_2+\mu_3)\mathcal{Z}_S^N(\alpha,\beta)
\end{aligned}$$

and realize the basis defined by (1.16). They can be written [14] in terms of the first basis of wavefunctions $\mathcal{Y}_K^N$ as

$$\mathcal{Z}_S^N(\alpha,\beta) = \begin{cases} (\,1\,2\,3\,)\,\mathcal{Y}_K^N(\pi-\alpha,\beta), & \text{for } N \text{ even},\\ (\,1\,2\,3\,)\,\mathcal{Y}_K^N(\alpha,\beta), & \text{for } N \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

where $(\,1\,2\,3\,)$ is the permutation cycle acting on the parameters $(\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3)$. This follows from the fact that this permutation induces a mapping of (1.15) into (1.16) when acting on the terms of the threefold tensor product (1.12).

## 3.2 Asymptotic Expansion

Let us first introduce several constants to lighten the notation in the rest of the article. The parameters $\mu_1$, $\mu_2$, $\mu_3$ and $N$ are taken to be implicit. We write $N = 2n$ for $N$ even and $N = 2n+1$ for $N$ odd with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly, we write $K = 2k+p \in \{0, ..., N\}$ with $p \in \{0,1\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
A_K &= \sqrt{\frac{(n-k-p)!\Gamma(n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3+3/2)}{\Gamma(n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1)\Gamma(n-k+\mu_3+1/2-p)}},\\
B_K &= \left(\frac{n-k+\mu_3-1/2}{n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1}\right)^{p/2},\\
T_K &= (-1)^K\sqrt{\frac{(n-k)!\Gamma(n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3+3/2+p)}{\Gamma(n-k+\mu_3+1/2)\Gamma(n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1+p)}},\\
Q_K &= \left(\frac{n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1}{n-k+\mu_3+1/2}\right)^{(1-p)/2},\\
E_K &= \left(\frac{k+1}{k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1}\right)^{p/2}, \quad F_K = \left(\frac{k+\mu_1+1/2}{k+\mu_2+1/2}\right)^{p/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We now derive the asymptotic expansion introduced in section 2.3 of the angular wavefunctions (3.1) and (3.2). The $\mathcal{F}_K$ functions (3.3), (3.4) are polynomials of $\cos 2\phi \to \infty$. Thus, only the leading term in these polynomials remains in the expansion. The leading term of a Jacobi polynomial is

$$P_n^{(a,b)}(x) \to 2^{-n}\binom{2n+a+b}{n}x^n.$$

Using (2.17), (2.18) and the above in (3.3) or (3.4) leads to

$$\mathcal{F}_K^+(\phi) \to \xi_K^+\binom{2k+2p+\mu_2+\mu_1-1}{k+p}\left[E_K - i(-1)^p\frac{k+p}{k+p+\mu_1+\mu_2}E_K^{-1}\right]\cos^{2k+2p}\phi, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_K^-(\phi) \to \xi_K^-\binom{2k+\mu_1+\mu_2}{k}\left[iF_K + (-1)^pF_K^{-1}\right]\cos^{2k+1}\phi. \tag{3.9}$$

Consider now the full wavefunctions (3.1) and (3.2) under the asymptotic expansion. The polynomials have for arguments $\cos 2\theta \to 1$ and are thus simply evaluated at 1. It is known that Jacobi polynomials evaluated at 1 equal

$$P_n^{(a,b)}(1) = \binom{n+a}{n}.$$

The remaining cosine terms will also simply become $\cos\theta = 1$. The sine terms approach zero, but will be compensated by the $\mathcal{F}_K$ functions which are divergent under the asymptotic expansion. Thus, leaving the sine terms, one is led to the following expressions for the asymptotic wavefunctions for $N$ even

$$\mathcal{Y}_K^N(\theta,\phi) \to A_K\Bigg\{B_K\binom{n+k+p+\mu_1+\mu_2}{n-k-p}\mathcal{F}_K^+(\phi)\sin^{2k+2p}\theta + B_K^{-1}\binom{n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2}{n-k-1}\mathcal{F}_K^-(\phi)\sin^{2k+1}\theta\Bigg\}, \quad (3.10)$$

and for $N$ odd

$$\mathcal{Y}_K^N(\theta,\phi) \to T_K\Bigg\{Q_K\binom{n+k+p+\mu_1+\mu_2}{n-k-p}\mathcal{F}_K^+(\phi)\sin^{2k+2p}\theta - Q_K^{-1}\binom{n+k+1+\mu_1+\mu_2}{n-k}\mathcal{F}_K^-(\phi)\sin^{2k+1}\theta\Bigg\}. \quad (3.11)$$

We now remind the reader that from (2.2) and (2.15) we have $\cos\phi\sin\theta = z$. By construction, this $z$ variable remains finite in the asymptotic expansion. Using (3.8) and (3.9) to rewrite (3.10) and (3.11) in terms of the $z$ variable leads to, for $N$ even

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y}_K^N(z) = A_K\Bigg\{&\xi_K^+ B_K\binom{n+k+p+\mu_1+\mu_2}{n-k-p} \\ &\times\binom{2k+2p+\mu_2+\mu_1-1}{k+p}\left[E_K - i(-1)^p\frac{k+p}{k+p+\mu_1+\mu_2}E_K^{-1}\right]z^{2k+2p} \\ &+\xi_K^- B_K^{-1}\binom{n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2}{n-k-1}\binom{2k+\mu_1+\mu_2}{k}\left[iF_K + (-1)^p F_K^{-1}\right]z^{2k+1}\Bigg\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

while for $N$ odd, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y}_K^N(z) = T_K\Bigg\{&\xi_K^+ Q_K\binom{n+k+p+\mu_1+\mu_2}{n-k-p} \\ &\times\binom{2k+2p+\mu_2+\mu_1-1}{k+p}\left[E_K - i(-1)^p\frac{k+p}{k+p+\mu_1+\mu_2}E_K^{-1}\right]z^{2k+2p} \\ &-\xi_K^- Q_K^{-1}\binom{n+k+1+\mu_1+\mu_2}{n-k}\binom{2k+\mu_1+\mu_2}{k}\left[iF_K + (-1)^p F_K^{-1}\right]z^{2k+1}\Bigg\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

# 4 Generating functions

In this section, we derive the main result, that is, the generating functions for the Bannai-Ito orthogonal polynomials. The wavefunctions in their asymptotic form being the sum of two monomials have not quite been brought to a monomial form. Thus, two degrees of the Bannai-Ito polynomials will appear in the coefficient of each power of the $z$ variable. This will not yield a proper generating function. Let us call this intermediate result the *quasi generating function*. Once these functions are identified, it proves possible to disentangle the resulting power series with a trick involving analysis of the complex phase of each term. The next two subsections illustrate how the proper generating functions can be found using this two-step approach.

## 4.1 Quasi generating functions

The asymptotic expansion given in section 2.3 is constructed so that the trigonometric functions of the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ variables remain finite[1]. Thus, there is no expansion to be made on the left-hand side of (2.11) as

[1]Omitting the two poles $\{z = 1, z = -1\}$ of the trigonometric functions of $\beta$.

defined in (3.7) to obtain (2.19). One only needs to rewrite the functions in terms of the new variable $z$ through the use of (2.15). Using standard trigonometric relations for double angles and (2.15), we have

$$\cos 2\alpha = 2z^2 - 1, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\cos 2\beta = \frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}. \tag{4.2}$$

The functions of $\beta(z)$ in $\mathcal{Z}_S^N(z)$, amounting to the $\mathcal{F}_K$ functions in (3.3) and (3.4), now depend on the parameter $S = 2s + p \in \{0, ..., N\}$, $p \in \{0, 1\}$ and have their parameters permuted by $(\,1\,2\,3\,)$ acting on $\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3\}$. These functions are expressed in terms of the new variable $z$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_S^+(z) = \xi_S^+ \left[ E_S P_{s+p}^{(\mu_3-1/2,\mu_2-1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right) - \frac{iz}{1-z^2}(-1)^p E_S^{-1} P_{s+p-1}^{(\mu_3+1/2,\mu_2+1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)\right], \tag{4.3}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_S^-(z) = \frac{\xi_S^-}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}\left[ F_S P_s^{(\mu_3+1/2,\mu_2-1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right) + iz(-1)^p F_S^{-1} P_s^{(\mu_3-1/2,\mu_2+1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)\right]. \tag{4.4}$$

Similarly, permuting the parameters, the angular wavefunctions (3.7) are expressed in terms of $z$ when $N = 2n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_S^N(z) = A_S\Big[ & B_S P_{n-s-p}^{(2s+2p+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1-1/2)}(2z^2-1)\mathcal{F}_S^+(z)(1-z^2)^{s+p} \\ & - B_S^{-1} P_{n-s-1}^{(2s+1+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1+1/2)}(2z^2-1)\mathcal{F}_S^-(z) z (1-z^2)^{s+1/2}\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

and when $N = 2n + 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_S^N(z) = T_S\Big[ & Q_S P_{n-s-p}^{(2s+2p+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1+1/2)}(2z^2-1)\mathcal{F}_S^+(z) z (1-z^2)^{s+p} \\ & - Q_S^{-1} P_{n-s}^{(2s+1+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1-1/2)}(2z^2-1)\mathcal{F}_S^-(z)(1-z^2)^{s+1/2}\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where one must not forget to introduce the reflection in the $\alpha$ coordinate when $N$ is even. Combining the above results, one obtains for $N = 2n$ even

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_S^N(z) = A_S\Big\{ & -iz(-1)^p B_S E_S^{-1}\xi_S^+ P_{n-s-p}^{(2s+2p+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1-1/2)}(2z^2-1) P_{s+p-1}^{(\mu_3+1/2,\mu_2+1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^{s+p-1} \\ & + B_S E_S \xi_S^+ P_{n-s-p}^{(2s+2p+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1-1/2)}(2z^2-1) P_{s+p}^{(\mu_3-1/2,\mu_2-1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^{s+p} \\ & - z B_S^{-1} F_S \xi_S^- P_{n-s-1}^{(2k+1+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1+1/2)}(2z^2-1) P_s^{(\mu_3+1/2,\mu_2-1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^s \\ & - iz^2(-1)^p B_S^{-1} F_S^{-1}\xi_S^- P_{n-s-1}^{(2s+1+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1+1/2)}(2z^2-1) P_s^{(\mu_3-1/2,\mu_2+1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^s\Big\},\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

while for $N = 2n + 1$ odd, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_S^N(z) = T_S\Big\{ & -iz^2(-1)^p Q_S E_S^{-1}\xi_S^+ P_{n-s-p}^{(2s+2p+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1+1/2)}(2z^2-1) P_{s+p-1}^{(\mu_3+1/2,\mu_2+1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^{s+p-1} \\ & + z Q_S E_S \xi_S^+ P_{n-s-p}^{(2s+2p+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1+1/2)}(2z^2-1) P_{s+p}^{(\mu_3-1/2,\mu_2-1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^{s+p} \\ & - Q_S^{-1} F_S \xi_S^- P_{n-s}^{(2k+1+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1-1/2)}(2z^2-1) P_s^{(\mu_3+1/2,\mu_2-1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^s \\ & - iz(-1)^p Q_S^{-1} F_S^{-1}\xi_S^- P_{n-s}^{(2s+1+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1-1/2)}(2z^2-1) P_s^{(\mu_3-1/2,\mu_2+1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^s\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

## 4.2 Proper generating functions

We now turn to the problem of disentangling the quasi generating functions (4.7) and (4.8). Assuming $z$ to be a real variable, by observing (3.12) and (3.13), one can note that the phase information of the asymptotic wave functions $\mathcal{Y}_K^N(z)$ is given by the following terms for any parity of $N$

$$\Psi \propto \begin{cases} \left[1 - i(-1)^p \frac{k+p}{k+p+\mu_1+\mu_2} \left(\frac{k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1}{k+1}\right)^p\right] & \text{for even powers of } z, \\ \left[i + (-1)^p \left(\frac{k+\mu_2+1/2}{k+\mu_1+1/2}\right)^p\right] & \text{for odd powers of } z. \end{cases}$$

To disentangle the generating functions, we want to keep only the powers of $z$ coming from values of $K$ of the same parity. We now remind the reader that $p = 0$ for $K$ even and $p = 1$ for $K$ odd. Thus, we only want to keep the terms with $p = 0$ for the even powers of $z$ and the terms with $p = 1$ for the odd powers of $z$. We will refer to this family of terms, with the parity of $K$ matched to the parity of the exponent of $z$, as having *aligned complex phases*. In this case, the aligned complex phase, which we will denote by $\Psi_A$, becomes

$$\Psi_A \propto \begin{cases} \left[1 - i\frac{k}{k+\mu_1+\mu_2}\right] & \text{for even powers of } z, \\ \left[i - \frac{k+\mu_2+1/2}{k+\mu_1+1/2}\right] & \text{for odd powers of } z. \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

The remaining cases where the parity of $K$ and of the exponent of $z$ differ, that is when $p = 1$ for even powers of $z$ and $p = 0$ for odd powers of $z$, will be referred to as having *unaligned complex phases*, denoted $\Psi_U$ and are given by

$$\Psi_U \propto [1 + i].$$

The disentangling procedure rests on the fact that an orthogonal coordinate system of the complex plane can be devised such that one of the components of the vectors in these coordinates is independent of the unaligned complex phases. More precisely, rotating the complex plane under the multiplication by $e^{i\pi/4}$, one maps the aligned complex phases to some vectors on the unit circle and the unaligned complex phases to $i$. Taking the real part of the result, we obtain an expression that only involves one degree of the Bannai-Ito polynomials per power of $z$. Let us now calculate the change in the normalization of each asymptotic function that this procedure induces. The rotation in the complex plane leads to

$$e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} : \Psi_U \mapsto \Psi'_U = i, \quad \Psi_A \mapsto \Psi'_A = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}\Psi_A.$$

Taking the real part, one gets

$$\mathfrak{Re}\left(\Psi'_U\right) = 0, \quad \mathfrak{Re}\left(\Psi'_A\right) \neq 0,$$

leading to the required disentanglement. Taking into account the magnitude $\rho$ of the complex numbers in (4.9), one has

$$\mathfrak{Re}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}\rho\Psi_A\right) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{Re}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}\left[1 - \frac{ik}{k+\mu_1+\mu_2}\right]\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1 + \frac{k}{k+\mu_1+\mu_2}\right) & \text{for even powers of } z, \\ \mathfrak{Re}\left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}\left[i - \frac{k+\mu_2+1/2}{k+\mu_1+1/2}\right]\right) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1 + \frac{k+\mu_2+1/2}{k+\mu_1+1/2}\right) & \text{for odd powers of } z. \end{cases}$$

Using the above, the transformed asymptotic wavefunctions, written $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_K^N(z)$, are then given for $N = 2n$ even by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_K^N(z) = \begin{cases} A_K B_K E_K \frac{\xi_K^+}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{2k+\mu_1+\mu_2}{k+\mu_1+\mu_2}\right) \binom{n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2}{n-k} \binom{2k+\mu_2+\mu_1-1}{k} z^K & \text{for } K \text{ even}, \\ -A_K B_K^{-1} F_K \frac{\xi_K^-}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{2k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1}{k+\mu_1+1/2}\right) \binom{n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2}{n-k-1} \binom{2k+\mu_1+\mu_2}{k} z^K & \text{for } K \text{ odd}, \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

while for $N=2n+1$ odd, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_K^N(z)=\begin{cases} T_K Q_K E_K \frac{\xi_K^+}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{2k+\mu_1+\mu_2}{k+\mu_1+\mu_2}\right)\binom{n+k+\mu_1+\mu_2}{n-k}\binom{2k+\mu_2+\mu_1-1}{k}z^K & \text{for } K \text{ even},\\ T_K Q_K^{-1} F_K \frac{\xi_K^-}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{2k+\mu_1+\mu_2+1}{k+\mu_1+1/2}\right)\binom{n+k+1+\mu_1+\mu_2}{n-k}\binom{2k+\mu_1+\mu_2}{k}z^K & \text{for } K \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

Acting with the same transformation on the quasi generating functions (4.7) and (4.8), one gets, writing $S=2s+p\in\{0,...,N\}$ with $p\in\{0,1\}$, for $N=2n$ even

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_S^N(z)=\frac{A_S}{\sqrt{2}}\Bigg\{& z(-1)^p B_S E_S^{-1}\xi_S^+ P_{n-s-p}^{(2s+2p+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1-1/2)}(2z^2-1)P_{s+p-1}^{(\mu_3+1/2,\mu_2+1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^{s+p-1}\\ &+B_S E_S\xi_S^+ P_{n-s-p}^{(2s+2p+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1-1/2)}(2z^2-1)P_{s+p}^{(\mu_3-1/2,\mu_2-1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^{s+p}\\ &-zB_S^{-1}F_S\xi_S^- P_{n-s-1}^{(2k+1+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1+1/2)}(2z^2-1)P_s^{(\mu_3+1/2,\mu_2-1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^s\\ &+z^2(-1)^p B_S^{-1}F_S^{-1}\xi_S^- P_{n-s-1}^{(2s+1+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1+1/2)}(2z^2-1)P_s^{(\mu_3-1/2,\mu_2+1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^s\Bigg\},\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

while for $N=2n+1$ odd

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_S^N(z)=\frac{T_S}{\sqrt{2}}\Bigg\{& z^2(-1)^p Q_S E_S^{-1}\xi_S^+ P_{n-s-p}^{(2s+2p+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1+1/2)}(2z^2-1)P_{s+p-1}^{(\mu_3+1/2,\mu_2+1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^{s+p-1}\\ &+zQ_S E_S\xi_S^+ P_{n-s-p}^{(2s+2p+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1+1/2)}(2z^2-1)P_{s+p}^{(\mu_3-1/2,\mu_2-1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^{s+p}\\ &-Q_S^{-1}F_S\xi_S^- P_{n-s}^{(2s+1+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1-1/2)}(2z^2-1)P_s^{(\mu_3+1/2,\mu_2-1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^s\\ &+z(-1)^p Q_S^{-1}F_S^{-1}\xi_S^- P_{n-s}^{(2s+1+\mu_2+\mu_3,\mu_1-1/2)}(2z^2-1)P_s^{(\mu_3-1/2,\mu_2+1/2)}\left(\frac{z^2+1}{z^2-1}\right)(1-z^2)^s\Bigg\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

The proper generating function decomposition is then expressed as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}_S^N(z)=\sum_{K=0}^{N}\mathcal{R}_{S,K,N}^{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}\,\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_K^N(z), \tag{4.14}$$

where the Racah coefficients $\mathcal{R}_{S,K,N}^{\mu_1,\mu_2,\mu_3}$ as given in (2.12) are proportional to the Bannai-Ito polynomials.

# 5 Conclusion

We have derived generating functions for the Bannai-Ito orthogonal polynomials by exploiting the fact that these polynomials present themselves as the Racah coefficients for the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ Lie superalgebra. This derivation was done using an appropriate asymptotic expansion of Dunkl oscillators wavefunctions.

This generating function for the Bannai-Ito polynomials might have interesting combinatorial interpretations [8]. Various orthogonal polynomials are obtained as limits of the Bannai-Ito polynomials. It would be interesting to investigate how generating functions for these polynomials can be recovered from the one obtained here.

# 6 Aknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Vincent Genest for inspiring discussions. The research of Geoffroy Bergeron is supported by scholarships of the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and of the Fond de Recherche du Québec - Nature et Technologies (FRQNT). The research of Luc Vinet is supported in part by a Discovery Grant from NSERC.

# References

[1] G. Bergeron and L. Vinet, "Generating functions for the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients," *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, p. 21, 2015.

[2] V. X. Genest, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, "The Bannai-Ito polynomials as Racah coefficients of the $sl_{-1}(2)$ algebra," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 142, no. 5, pp. 1545–1560, 2014.

[3] Y. I. Granovskii and A. S. Zhedanov, "New construction of $3nj$-symbols," *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, vol. 26, pp. 4339–4344, 1993.

[4] H. T. Koelink and J. Van der Jeugt, "Convolutions for orthogonal polynomials from Lie and quantum algebra representations," *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 794–822, 1996.

[5] J. Van der Jeugt, "Coupling coefficients for Lie algebra representations and addition formulas for special functions," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 38, no. 5, p. 2728, 1997.

[6] J. Van der Jeugt and R. Jagannathan, "Realizations of $su(1,1)$ and $U_q(su(1,1))$ and generating functions for orthogonal polynomials," *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 39, no. 9, pp. 5062–5078, 1998.

[7] V. X. Genest and L. Vinet, "The Generic Superintegrable System on the 3-Sphere and the $9j$ Symbols of $\mathfrak{su}(1,1)$," *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, vol. 10, p. 108, 2014.

[8] E. Bannai and T. Ito, *Algebraic combinatorics*. Benjamin/Cummings, 1984.

[9] S. Tsujimoto, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, "Dunkl shift operators and Bannai–Ito polynomials," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 229, pp. 2123–2158, mar 2012.

[10] L. Vinet and A. Zhedanov, "A "missing" family of classical orthogonal polynomials," *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, vol. 44, p. 085201, nov 2010.

[11] S. Tsujimoto, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, "From $sl_q(2)$ to a Parabosonic Hopf Algebra," *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, vol. 7, no. 093, p. 13, 2011.

[12] V. X. Genest, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, "The Bannai–Ito algebra and a superintegrable system with reflections on the two-sphere," *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, vol. 47, p. 205202, may 2014.

[13] V. X. Genest, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, "The Dunkl oscillator in three dimensions," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 512, p. 012010, may 2014.

[14] V. X. Genest, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, "A Laplace-Dunkl Equation on $S^2$ and the Bannai–Ito Algebra," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 336, pp. 243–259, feb 2013.